Appendix 1 Storrington How consulted Officer View & Consultee Ref Comment Nature of Response Consultant/Officer Response Consultee Comment Keep Updated? RE Manning, Storringtor Bowling Club representati Storrington Bowling Club supports the Bowling Green and surrounding area and buildings being included in the proposed Conservation Area. Noted - no action Email Support Yes 2 2 Martyn Pilcher, Resident Online Form Support Applauds the initiative to expand the conservation areas of Storrington. Noted - no action Yes It is not considered that the CA boundary should be expanded to include the riverside walk and entry into the village because the river and its banks are protected by dint of ownership and Argues that certain non-built-up areas should be considered. Argues River Stor is integral part of settlement Disagree - no action Martyn Pilcher, Resident Online Form Observation Yes so area to NW (riverside walk) & entry into village (Meadowside) should be included long thin parts of CAs cause problems with setting. Any new application within an area that was deleted from a conservation area would be assessed against the policies in the Horsham District Planning Framework, including Policy 34 "Cultural and Heritage Assets". In addition, the exclusion of the new properties near the church whilst not historically interesting should have 2 Martyn Pilcher, Resident Online Form Observation Noted - no action Yes some sort of conditions imposed to prevent more unsympathetic developmen This consultation response wishes to make the case against the inclusion of the property known as The This consultation response wishes to make rule case against the inclusion of the property known as the bomain, Greyfriars Lane, Storrington, RH20 4HE. The consultation document proposes to extend the boundary of the Conservation Area on its southern boundary to include two additional properties known as The Domain and Gerston Lodge. The shape of the land to be included is somewhat incongruous and also The Domain is the end stop building on the east side of Greyfrairs Lane. It has an attractive brick wall facing the lane declines to include three neighbouring properties which are also located with the Built Up Area Boundary (BUAB) of Storrington namely Ashton House, Aprils Cottage and Downsway. The statement in the report and is at the south end of the Abbey convent group of (BUAB) of Storrington namely Asnton House, Aprils Cottage and Downsway. The statement in the report (Appendix 4, recommendation H) appears to rely solely on the apparent 'attractiveness' of the area without sufficiently detailing why both The Domain and Gerston Lodge are proposed for inclusion. Neither properties are listed nor are of any redeeming historical or architectural interest. The Domain should more appropriately be considered as a non-designated heritage asset. It is felt that current national and local planning policies afford sufficient protection for this property without the need for inclusion on the Conservation area. In terms of the 'Special Interest' key elements listed in Section 2 (page 6) of the report, the proposed inclusion of The Domain is considered unlikely to significantly contribute to those citeria. In terms of landecape setting and buildings. The 3 cottages opposite and indeed the tennis club buildings. The 3 cottages opposite and indeed the tennis club have been excluded because they would be seen as neutral or detractors and without much rebuilding could not be made otherwise. The garden to the east of The Domain is an attractive open space that meets the garden of Abbey Convent/St Joseph's Abbey and both meet the SDNA Chris Carev Associates for Online Form Objection Disagree - no action Yes Sean Stephens boundary, thus not leaving a buffer area within either Domain is considered unlikely to significantly contribute to these criteria. In terms of landscape setting and character. Map 5 of the report details that area adjacent to The Domain and east of Greyfriars Lane as having a 'medium sensitivity', and not the 'high sensitively' applied to the land located to the west of Greyfriars Land Although the consultation relates to the Parish Storrington and Sullington the conservation area is based around the central area of Storrington, I seek for Sandgate Lane Sullington RH20 3HJ to be designated a conservation area as it is immediately adjacent to and some residences in the Lane are effectively within This current consultation exercise deals with potential additions/deletions to the exisiting Storrington Conservation Sandgate Park which is a conservation area. The area needs the protection of being a conservation area as it has become a focal point for developers looking to buy up properties that become available and seek planning permission to demolish the existing buildings and shoehorn in much larger or additional buildings which will destroy the area that currently exists. Area. The work on appraising conservation areas will continue in 2018 and Sullington will be looked at as a potential candidate then. Richard Hurley Resident Online Form Observation Noted - no action Yes The Diocese is of the opinion that the Rectory (The Rectory, Rectory Road, Storrington, RH20 4EF) and its In e Diocese is of the opinion that the Rectory (The Rectory, Rectory Road, Storrington, RH20 4EF) and its garden should not be included in the revised Conservation Area. Consultant argues that on a number of points where the report highlights areas of special interest, these points do not refer to the Rectory. e.g. "Open Spaces": The building does not form part of the open Glebe land and it cannot reasonably be included within the conservation area on the basis that it does. "Views" The consultant argues that the view mentioned on page 21 does not include the Rectory. The consultant also argues there are a number of places in the The Rectory building was built in the mid C20 when the Recto moved out of what is now Abbey Convent, and it marked the first building in the development north of the churchyard and west of Chanctonbury. It stands on a ridge surrounded by Scott Ralph (Diocese of Online Form Objection Disagree - no action Yes Chichester) appraisal where the Rectory should be mentioned, if it is such a special building, but is not mentioned (e.g. mature trees that look out over the Glebelands and it therefore p.28 different character areas; p.31 of draft appraisal; map 10, page 37- Rectory does not add to this view very much part of the Conservation Area, as rightly extended The consultant argues that the Rectory does not form a recognisable or coherent group with the church. He argues that if there is anything with which the Rectory forms a group, it is the 20th century development to the north, east and south of it. The consultant states there are no sound grounds for including the building in the conservation area. to include Glebelands, as well as a frame to the churchyard The consultation document acknowledges the development at The Glebe, which has recently been approved and the public benefit of the area of land which will be dedicated as public open space. However, we consider that it was not the intention of the Conservation Area boundary review to incorporate the areas of the built form and managed landscape buffers, as identified in Figure 2 and Figure 3. In this regard, we wish to object to the proposed revisions to the western boundary of the Conservation Area as currently illustrated within the consultation document, and specifically request that the areas identified in Figure 2 and Figure 3 be removed from western extension Area A A', and excluded from Storrigotn Conservation Area entirely.

The removal of these relatively small areas of land from the proposed extended Conservation Area would represent a modest amendment to the boundary, as currently drawn, to ensure the revised Conservation Area boundary excludes areas of carpark, infrastructure and landscape that would otherwise not meet the relevant tests for inclusion within a Conservation Area. The consultation document acknowledges the development at The Glebe, which has recently been approve Ideally, all the Glebeland should have been included in the locally, all the circlearlar should have been included in the conservation area, not to stop development but to ensure that it maintained the character of the area. Retaining the non-buil areas of the development such as the buffers to Lady Place, Monastery Lane, and open space towards the old monastery. Karen Tipper, ECE Planning, Worthing BN12 4AA, on behalf of Millwood Designer Homes and Medical Centre Online Form Objection Disagree - no action Yes and catholic church in the CA are important to maintaining the Developments character and appearance to this part of the extended Conservation Area. Map 4 doesn't seem to correlate to the setting of Conservation area, doesn't seem necessary or appropriate Mathieu Evans, Gladman Map 4 is a wider landscape map which takes in the setting of Fmail Map refers to Landscape Character Areas covered by other documents and circumference does not cover Parish boundary. Disagree - no action Yes the CA so not relevant to consider manmade bo Welcome & support approach HDC is taking to revising and updating its conservation area appraisals. The Plans and documents appropriately reflect the advice set out in HE guidance and in the NPPG. No specific comments but happy to advise on specific matters arising from preparation of the documents that fall within the HE remit re e.g. listed building repairs & parks/gardens enhancements. Alan Byrne, Historic England 10 Email Observation Noted- no action Noted- no action Yes Mrs Carla Wright, Natural Natural England do not consider that these Draft Appraisals pose any likely risk or opportunity in relation to 9 11 Email Observation Noted- no action Noted- no action Nο our statutory purpose, so does not wish to comment The open areas either side of the drive to St Joseph's Hall are an important part of the landscape and are definitely read with St Joseph's Hall as part of historic Storrington. There are views to the church and of them when looking into the Conservation Area from the South Downs National Park. Philip Orpwood (Hon. Estates 12 Observation Pg 16, landscape high sensitivity lines should stop at east side of cemetery lane as no view from further west. Noted - no action Yes Manager to the Norbertine Order in Storrington and Filey) Philip Orpwood (Hon. Estates Pg 19, Para 5 Matts Wood owned by Norbertine Order & leased to Chemin Neuf. Public have access when This info to be added to p.19 para 5 to pick up Matts Wood, the 10 13 Email Observation Noted - action proposed Manager to the Norbertine appropriate. Vineyard planted by Norbertine Order but now sold. Cemetery for Catholic Church not just the cemetery going to the Diocese and the vineyard becoming Yes Order in Storrington and Filey) Order & is being gifted to Diocese. private Pg 20, view b would be better north north east rather than north north west as no view past 2/3 banks of mature trees. Best view is north east from that spot. Philip Orpwood (Hon. Estates The view from the entrance to the cemetery should be Manager to the Norbertine Order in Storrington and Filey) 14 Observation Email Noted - action proposed Yes changed to north east to pick up the two church towers. And it needs to be added to the views on Map 10 Philip Orpwood (Hon. Estates 4. page 31 the first photo shows the Priory walled garden which is to the east of the Priory not the north. Noted - action proposed Agree, text to be altered. Yes Manager to the Norbertine Order in Storrington and Filey)

| Consultee Ref | Consultee | Comment Number | How consultee responded | Nature of Response | Comment | Officer View & Recommendations | Consultant/Officer Response | Keep Updated? |
|------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------|
| 11 | Paul Galgey Planning Potential for Welbeck Strategic Land | 16 | Online Form | Observation | Welbeck Land has a land promotion agreement with the landowners of the 'field at the end of Downsview Avenue' which lies to the north of Storrington, outside of the Conservation Area. Having reviewed the content of the draft Storrington Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (SCAAMP), it is evident that the sensitivities associated with the southern end of the Conservation Area, adjacent to the boundary with the South Downs National Park (SDNP), raise concerns about the appropriateness of the proposed site allocation (iv) 'Ravenscroft Allotments'. Specifically, we are concerned that the delivery of the Ravenscroft Allotments site for housing development, with an indicative capacity of 70 dwellings, coupled with the associated relocation of the existing allotments to adjacent land within the SDNP, threatens to erode the protection of the Conservation Area's Southern boundary with its proximity to the South Downs, which is identified as a key element of the Conservation Area (page 6 of the SCAAMP). We share the view that the undeveloped gaps not only form a key component of the semi-rural setting of the southern end of the Conservation Area, but also act as a valuable 'buffer zone' adjacent to the boundary of the National Park. We acknowledge that there is a critical need to allocate new housing development sites in Storrington to meet local housing need, but consider that other prospective developments sites, such as the 'field at the end of Downsfield Avenue', are less constrained than the Ravenscroft Allotments site to do so. | Disagree - no action | The Council understands the potential sensitivities associated with the Conservation Area in the southern part of Storrington Village. It has been speaking to the South Downs National Park on this issue. It has been decided to amend the proposed development at Ravenscroft Allotments to allow for a more sympathetic development. This will help to preserve the integrity of the National Park. | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 17 | Email | Observation | In our view the most important and attractive feature of Storrington town centre is its setting under the South Downs and therefore all the fields between the southern Built up Area Boundary and the South Downs National Park should be considered for inclusion within the Conservation Area. | Noted - action proposed | The fields between the BUAB of Storrington and the South Downs National Park have been considered for inclusion within the CA. It is considered though that the conservation area boundary should be drawn around the built form of Storrington but it has been agreed that Matts Wood, the vineyard and St Josephs Hall should be included in the CA. | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 18 | Email | Support | "The character of the Conservation Area is influenced by the landscape and development that surrounds it. " Again, we fully support the above statement. | Noted- no action | - | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 19 | Email | Observation | The entirety of "the field" south of Kithurst Lane on Page 15 Map 4 should be colour washed blue as within D1: Amberley to Steyning Farmlands (ie. and outside the HDPF 2015 Storrington BUAB). | Noted - action proposed | Boundary to be redrawn to reflect BUAB. | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 20 | Email | Observation | .In our view, given the close proximity of " the field" to the proposed Conservation Area Boundary it would be beneficial and logical to include it within the New Conservation Boundary or at least to extend the red hatching on Map 5 to include the whole of " the field. | Noted- action proposed | It is proposed to include land to the South of School Lane as part of the Conservation Area extension with the drive to St Josephs Hall as west boundary so still excluding the Field | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 21 | Email | Observation | P.19 The Catholic Cemetery is mentioned as an open space south of School Lane but " the field" is not, which is illogical. | Noted - action proposed | The field and the Catholic Cemetery will be noted as 'open space'. | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 22 | Email | Observation | Page 20. Kithurst Lane is a single track Private Road and important and popular Public Footpath leading to the South Downs National Park and South Downs Way. It is shown on Page 20 of the Consultation Draft as an Important Link with Key Views eg. View (b) but no mention is made of the connection between "the field", the Catholic Cemetery and lovely view east from "the field" towards Matts Meadow and St. Mary's Church. | Noted - no action | Kithurst Lane and the field to the south of it and Catholic Cemetery were considered for inclusion along with the drive to St Josephs Hall and Matts Wood, but only the latter have been included because this makes a logical west boundary. | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 23 | Email | Observation | Page 24. Pevsner Buildings of England assessment of Storrington strongly hints at the damage done by modern development which is all too plain to us (and continues recently) and good reason to keep open and undeveloped the fields south of School Lane and Kithurst Lane. | Noted - no action | Agreed and the revised CA extension takes in the drive and Matts Wood and St Joseph's Hall but it was decided not to include the field and the Catholic Cemetery. | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 24 | Email | Observation | Page 33. There should also be mention of Kithurst Lane which is similarly a distinctive area with a rural feel being a continuation of School Lane and another important Public Footpath leading to the SDNP. | Noted - no action | The problem with including Kithurst Lane would be that most of the buildings on either side of it are modern and would not be included in the CA, leading to a long, narrow spur to the CA, which would be dilficult to manage . | Yes |
| 12 | Mr & Mrs Pulling, Residents | 25 | Email | Observation | Failing inclusion within the expanded Conservation Area all of "the field" should at least be hatched red on Page 16 Map 5 as High Sensitivity Landscape Fringe 1. | Noted - action proposed | Agree to extending the shading to cover all of the field and Catholic Cemetery | Yes |
| 13 | Geoff Reed, on behalf of the residents of ST Joseph's Abbey & Mews | 26 | Email | Observation | When you appraise the St Joseph's Abbey area in Storrington please consider adding the whole of St Joseph's grounds and not just the half of it that is presently included. Not included at present are the back lawn, pavilion, Wendy House, tennis court, lake and meadow. All are of special interest by virtue of their age and design which date back to the 1920s or earlier and are within the curtilage of St Joseph's. The Wendy House is a full size copy of the one used in the first stage production of Peter Pan. The Pavilion has carved wooden panels which date back to Elizabethan times. | Noted - action proposed | Agree that the whole garden should be included, to take in the tennis court at the north the diagonal boundary from it to the eastern boundary down to the southern boundary, east of The Domain. | Yes |
| 13 | Geoff Reed, on behalf of the residents of ST Joseph's Abbey & Mews | 27 | Email | Support | We agree with the proposal to add the Domain on our southern boundary. | Noted - no action | | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 28 | Email | Observation | Pages 8,17,18,21 and 36 refer to the importance of green spaces toward the South Downs. This is one of the justifications for "ti is important that any gaps between the Conservation Area and the National Park boundary are considered for inclusion in the Conservation Area"—Page 8). However they are excluded from the boundary in the document. | Noted - no action | The green spaces have been considered for inclusion, however. Additional areas between the Conservation Area and National Park boundary are proposed to be included in a revised Conservation Area boundary. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 29 | Email | Observation | Map 7 Page 26 has missed the negative in Church Street – Stockbury House. | Noted -no action | Though Stockbury House is a 'neutral' building, the intention would always be that if the chance came to replace it with a better design, more in context, this would be sought. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 30 | Email | Observation | Area B Page 32 The bowling green is 20th Century not 19th | Noted - action proposed | Text to be altered acordingly. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 31 | Email | Observation | Pages 38/42/43 Street Clutter / House livery – Agreed – whilst traffic and parking are bigger problems this is something that could be addressed – what exactly is the proposal to deal with this and is HDC going to introduce a policy to deal with A Boards / Advertising Banners etc? | Noted - no action | The Horsham District Planning Framework contains a policy (14) called "Shop fronts and Advertisements", which deals with this issue. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 32 | Email | Observation | Consideration should be given to include the whole of Stor Walk, The Meadowside "Green Area" and the old Fryern House area. If not then the Riverside Walk at least part way from the Mill Pond should be included. Potential development should respect this area. | Noted- no action | These areas are outside the historic core and it would be difficult to justify their inclusion on special character grounds. | Yes |

| Consultee Ref | Consultee | Comment Number | How consulted responded | Nature of Response | Comment | Officer View & Recommendations | Consultant/Officer Response | Keep Updated? |
|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---------------|
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 33 | Email | Observation | There are concerns about the removal of Chanctonbury Walk and Orchard Gardens. As modern developments post-dating the existing Conservation Area the presumption must be that they do not conflict with it. At minimum there should be protection from any frontage or otherwise dominant redevelopment likely to degrade the Conservation Area. The Old Wall fronting Orchard Gardens should also be included. | Noted- no action | Any new development proposed in the areas of Storrington where the Conservation Area has been removed would be assessed against all relevant policies included within the Horsham District Planning Frameowrk. The front wall of Orchard Gardens is included. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 34 | Email | Observation | The document mentions twice that St Joseph's Hall is within the National Park, this is inaccurate as the boundary of the SDNP lies just to the south of St Joseph's Hall. That said, the Parish Council believes that St Joseph's Hall should be included in the Conservation Area. | Noted - action proposed | This error has been addressed and the drive to, vineyard of and St Josephs Hall and all its land between the woodland and Greyfriars Lane are now to be included in the CA. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 35 | Email | Observation | St Joseph's (The Abbey) all of the grounds should be included in the Conservation Area as they are fundamental to its character and setting and contain a number of fine trees worthy of protection. | Noted- action proposed | Agree that the whole garden should be included, to take in the tennis court at the north, the diagonal boundary from it to the eastern boundary down to the southern boundary east of The Domain. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 36 | Email | Observation | There are concerns that similar protection does not extend to Cootham which has a number of features defining its character and consideration should be given to incorporating a conservation area – a map of the suggested area can be provided if required. | Noted - no action | This current consultation exercise deals with potential additions/deletions to the exisiting Storrington Conservation Area. The work on appraising conservation areas will continue in 2018 and Sullington will be looked at as a potential candidate then. | Yes |
| 14 | Tracey Eusden, Storrington & Sullington PC | 37 | Email | Observation | Matt's Meadow – together with the land to the West, North of the catholic cemetery should be included in the revised Conservation Area. Apart from anything else it is the only biodiverse corridor with no development from Storrington to the South Downs. | Noted- action proposed | It has been agreed to include the drive and Matts Wood as well as the vineyard, but not the field and the Catholic Cemetery. | Yes |
| 15 | Richard Jerman | 38 | Email | Observation | Photos supplied to make corrections to draft appraisal | Noted - action proposed | Photos will be used to update the appraisals. | Yes |